

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,705	TAMAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thoi V Duong	2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thoi V Duong. (3) Robert Klm.
 (2) Michael E. Monaco. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 June 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Meada (US 6,204,898 B1) and Kita (JP 11-007000).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Meada and Kita do not disclose a frame member encasing the light source means as recited in claim 1. The Examiner acknowledges that neither references discloses a frame member with a lip for holding the light source.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Docket No: 220334US-2 PCT

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/070,705 First Named Applicant: Yasuyuki TAMAKI
Examiner: DOUNG, T. Art Unit: 2871 Status of Application: Final Rejection
Tentative Participants:
(1) Michael E. Monaco (2) _____
(3) _____ (4) _____
Proposed Date of Interview: June 1, 2004 Proposed Time: 10:00 AM
(1) [] Telephonic (2) [XXX] Personal (3) [] Video Conference
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [XXX] YES [] NO
If yes, provide brief description: Proposed Response

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(2) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(3) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]
(4) _____	_____	_____	[]	[]	[]

[] Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)